

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/863,406	05/24/2001	Staffan Jonsson	1291-0183P	9183		
2292	7590 06/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STE	EWART KOLASCH & BI	DUONG	DUONG, THO V			
	RCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3753			
			DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/863,406		JONSSON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tho v. Duon	"i	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status					•			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2006</u> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-66</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>36-56 and 61-63</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-35,57-60 and 64-66</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	_							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers .							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 36-56 and 61-65 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species A and claims 60,66, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 4/6/06. The examiner rejoins claims 64 and 65 with the elected claims since the subject matters of claims 64-65 still read on the elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 32-35, 57-60 and 64-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Regarding claim 57, the claimed subject matter of "the active solid substance all the time having a fixed location" is not described by the original disclosure. Regarding claims 32, the claimed subject matter of "a substance layer on an inner surface of the at least partly heat conducting walls or plates" is not supported by the original disclosure. It appears in Figure 2a, that the substance layer (23) is located on a surface of the wall but it is not an inner surface of the wall. Furthermore, the claimed subject matter of "gas transport channels arranged at outer surfaces of the substance layers, opposite inner surfaces of the substance layers located

Application/Control Number: 09/863,406 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

at the inner surfaces of the at least partly heat conducting walls or plates" is not supported by the original disclosure.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 32-35 and 66 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim subject matter of "a double heat exchanger/substance structure" renders the scope of the claim indefinite since it is not clear whether the slash (/) means a double heat exchanger with substance structure or a double heat exchanger and a double substance structure. The claims subject matter of "gas transport channels arranged at outer surfaces of the substance layers, opposite inner surfaces of the substance layers located at the inner surfaces of the at least partly heat conducting walls or plates" renders the scope of the claim indefinite since it is not clear whether applicant is claiming that the gas transport channel is arranged at outer surfaces of the substance layer and opposite inner surfaces of the substance layer or else. Furthermore, it is not clear what elements are the relative opposite inner surfaces, outer surfaces of the substance layer and inner surfaces of the walls since applicant does not describe the subject matter in the original disclosure.

In view of the clarity issues above, applicant is not able to determine whether claims 32-35 and 66 are novel or inventive.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 57-60 and 64-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Foulke (US 4,146,013). Applicant discloses (figure 1) a prior art of a chemical heat pump having an active solid substance fixed in a location and existing in a solid state in an accumulator (1) and a sorbate such as water. However, the AAPA does not disclose the claimed property and material of the active substance. Foulke discloses (table 1 and column 3, lines 33-53) a solar chemical heat pump that utilizes Cobalt Chloride (COCl2) as an absorbing material for the purpose of producing a large energy per mole of the absorbing material. CoCl2 clearly possesses the properties as claimed as indicated in the applicant's specification. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Foulke's teaching in the AAPA's heat pump for the purpose of producing a large energy per mole of the absorbing material.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/863,406

Art Unit: 3753

Page 5

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keasel Eric can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.8

TP

June 22, 2006

Tho v Duong Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

Chora Duoy